

Amendments to the Drawings

The attached sheets of drawings include changes to Figs. 1, 2, 3 and 4. These sheets, which includes Figs. 1-3 and Figs. 4-6, replace the original sheets including Figs. 1-3 and Figs. 4-6.

In Figs. 1, 2, 3 and 4, reference numeral 13 now depicts a groove or recess as described in the specification.

No changes have been made to Figs. 5 and 6.

Attachment: Replacement Sheets (2)

REMARKS

The Official Action of July 27, 2006, and the prior art cited and relied upon therein have been carefully studied. The claims in the application are now claims 11-14 and 16-19, and these claims define patentable subject matter warranting their allowance. Favorable reconsideration and such allowance are respectfully urged.

Claims 2-9 and 15 have been canceled. Claims 11-14 and 16-19 remain in the application for consideration.

In response to the Examiner's objection to the drawings, Applicant has enclosed new Figs. 1-4 wherein reference numeral 13 now depicts a groove or recess as described in the specification. Applicant respectfully submits that this objection has now been overcome.

In response to the Examiner's rejection of claims 2-9 and 11-19 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, Applicant has canceled claims 2-9 and amended claims 11, 12, 14 and 16 to eliminate each of the problems identified by the Examiner. Applicant respectfully submits that the Examiner's 35 U.S.C. §112 rejection has now been overcome.

Applicant thanks the Examiner for his indication that claims 2-9 and 11-19 would be allowable subject to overcoming his 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph rejection.

Appln. No. 10/814,171
Amtd. dated October 19, 2006
Reply to Office Action of July 27, 2006

Applicant respectfully submits that having overcome the Examiner's 35 U.S.C. §112 rejection as noted above, that claims 11-14 and 16-19 are now allowable and that this application is now in condition for allowance.

The prior art documents made of record and not relied upon have been noted along with the implication that such documents are deemed by the PTO to be insufficiently pertinent to warrant their applications against any of applicant's claims.

Favorable reconsideration and allowance are earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.
Attorneys for Applicant(s)

By 
Norman J. Latker
Registration No. 19,963

NJL:ma
Telephone No.: (202) 628-5197
Facsimile No.: (202) 737-3528
G:\BN\L\Laur\chaumati\pto\2006-10-19 Amendment-B.doc